

November 12, 2019

# Response to TWIA Actuarial and Underwriting Committee Open Issues from the October 17, 2019 Committee Meeting

### **Background**

At its October 17, 2019 meeting, the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association (TWIA) Actuarial & Underwriting Committee (the "Committee") directed TWIA staff to provide written comments on certain issues raised by the Committee during the meeting for the purpose of considering the information at a follow-up meeting of the Committee and formulating a recommendation to the TWIA Board of Directors on a proposed rate filing. The Committee's recommendation would be considered at the TWIA Board's quarterly meeting scheduled for December 10, 2019 in Corpus Christi.

The issues identified by the Committee in the meeting are summarized as follows:

- (1) TWIA's compliance with recently enacted legislation;
- (2) TWIA's compliance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 39: Treatment of Catastrophe Losses in Property/Casualty Insurance Ratemaking;
- (3) Use of the Texas industry historical hurricane frequency in the Association's rate adequacy analysis;
- (4) TWIA's Series 2014 Bond debt service obligations and alternative refunding structures;
- (5) The impacts of near-term and long-term frequency assumptions on catastrophe model results;
- (6) TWIA's use of the Marshall Swift Boeckh (MSB) system for calculating replacement cost on residential dwellings; and
- (7) Loss Adjustment Expense (LEA) assumptions in the Association's rate adequacy analysis.

#### **Discussion of Issues**

- (1) TWIA's compliance with recently enacted legislation, and
- (2) Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 39: Treatment of Catastrophe Losses in Property/Casualty Insurance Ratemaking

TWIA's rate adequacy analysis is in full compliance with applicable Texas law and <u>actuarial standards of practice</u>. As these issues are interrelated, we have combined the discussion of issues (1) and (2) related to the Association's compliance with recently enacted legislation and <u>ASOP No. 39</u>.



Senate Bill 615 and House Bill 1900 (86th Legislature) both require TWIA to make the Association's rate adequacy analysis available on its website "in a searchable electronic format that allows for efficient analysis and is sufficiently detailed to allow the historical experience in this state to be compared to results produced by the model." The 2019 rate adequacy analysis information posted on TWIA's website on July 22, 2019 satisfies the legislative requirement because, in addition to other information, it includes an explicit comparison of the expected losses based on industry experience and the expected losses for each of the hurricane models. This comparison can be found in Exhibit 5 of the Residential Exhibit 2019 and the Commercial Exhibit 2019 the rate adequacy analysis.

There is no statutory requirement for TWIA to validate the accuracy of the AIR and RMS hurricane models against Texas historical experience. Since 2008, TWIA has used the results of two different hurricane simulation models—one is the proprietary product of Applied Insurance Research (AIR) and the other is the proprietary product of Risk Management Solutions (RMS). In connection with its use of the models TWIA reviews the model outputs against actual TWIA experience for Hurricanes Ike and Harvey, among others. These storms represent the two largest storms impacting the Association in the past 11 years.

TWIA staff is satisfied that the Associations' ratemaking procedures appropriately reflect the expected frequency and severity distribution of catastrophes, as well as anticipated class, coverage, geographic, and other relevant exposure distributions as outlined by ASOP 39.

Additionally, in compliance with <u>ASOP No. 38</u>: Using Models Outside the Actuary's Area of Expertise (Property and Casualty), which requires the actuary to evaluate the user input and the reasonableness of the model output:

- a. TWIA, in using the computer simulation models, has relied on documentation provided directly by the modeling firms and submission documentation provided to the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology in compliance with ASOP No. 38; and
- b. TWIA actuarial staff prepares and provides the user input exposure data for models and evaluates the reasonableness of the model output as outlined by ASOP No. 38.

Appendix A includes the text of <u>Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.3511</u> related to the posting requirements for TWIA's rate adequacy analysis and a detailed analysis of TWIA's compliance with the statute.

Appendices B, C, and D provide the validation work done by TWIA's actuarial staff in response to the issues raised by the Committee regarding the comparison between the model output and TWIA's actual hurricane loss experience from Hurricanes Ike and Harvey.



#### (3) Use of the Texas industry historical hurricane frequency in the Association's rate adequacy analysis.

The methods TWIA uses to calculate its annualized hurricane loss ratio result in a more accurate hurricane loss provision estimate:

- a. TWIA's hurricane frequency is based on National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) records for the 168-year period from 1851 to 2018. Exhibit 9 of the Residential Exhibit 2019 and the Commercial Exhibit 2019 of the rate adequacy analysis details Texas hurricanes since 1966. This 53-year period (1966-2018) represents all years for which TWIA has been provided industry loss experience data by TDI. However, in determining the frequency of hurricanes, we are not limited to the years in which insurance industry loss data is available. Given the relatively infrequent occurrence of hurricanes, the longest possible frequency experience period should be considered in order to obtain the most credible result. TWIA's selected hurricane frequency is therefore set equal to the 168-year historical hurricane frequency.
- b. In its calculation of a hurricane loss provision based on industry historical experience, TWIA has relied on the number of individual hurricanes that have occurred during the historical period, not the number of years in which a hurricane occurred. In the 14 years from 1966 to 2018, 16 hurricanes have occurred. Two of the years experienced more than one hurricane: there were two hurricanes in 1989 (Hurricanes Chantal and Jerry) and two hurricanes in 2008 (Hurricanes Dolly and Ike). For those two years, it is not possible to distinguish the specific losses associated with the individual hurricanes. TWIA used the average annual loss ratio for years in which there is a hurricane as a proxy for the average loss ratio per hurricane.

In response to discussion about TWIA's use of the Texas industry historical frequency in the Association's rate adequacy analysis, TWIA staff recalculated the rate adequacy analysis assuming the two hurricanes occurring together in one year are of equal severity (i.e., dividing the combined annual loss ratio by two). This adjustment results in a minor change in the industry hurricane loss provision decreasing it from 96% to 81% and a resulting overall rate adequacy of 37% from the Association's original estate of 42%.

While this proposed adjustment modestly improves the industry hurricane loss experience percentage, adjusting the historical data for loss cost inflation and premium changes would have a negative effect on TWIA's rate adequacy. Unfortunately, TWIA lacks the requisite data to calculate the industry loss trends and industry premium trends to adjust historical industry experience to the present value. If TWIA's non-hurricane premium trend (0.3%) and loss trend (1.8%) had been factored into historical industry hurricane experience and the hurricane severity had been calculated, TWIA's 2019 residential rate indication would have been 48%; or approximately 6% more than originally indicated and communicated.



#### (4) TWIA's Series 2014 Bond debt service obligations and alternative refunding structures.

<u>Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.355(b)(4)</u> states that in "adopting rates" TWIA must consider several factors including the "payment of public security debt obligations". In TWIA's rate adequacy analysis, TWIA's projection of costs associated with the bonds for 2020 is based on the terms of the outstanding Series 2014 Bonds (see Exhibit 11 of the Residential Exhibit 2019 and the Commercial Exhibit 2019 of the rate adequacy analysis).

It was suggested at the October 17, 2019 Committee meeting that TWIA should have accelerated the payment of principal on the Series 2014 Bonds rather than make required contributions into the Association's Catastrophe Reserve Trust Fund (CRFT). In fact, TWIA explored the possibility of retaining all or a portion of the 2018 net gains from operations to redeem the Series 2014 Bonds instead of contributing to the CRTF in 2019. However, TDI indicated that this option was not authorized by <u>statute</u>.

The Committee also asked staff to evaluate the feasibility of refinancing the Series 2014 Bonds with a structure that would provide for more rapid repayment of the new debt or reduce the overall debt service. These proposals included issuing separate tranches for one-, two-, and three-year terms or seeking an optional early redemption feature. While the Association hopes to reduce the overall interest rate in refinancing the Series 2014 bonds, the proposed structures will not enhance TWIA's overall rate adequacy and none of the proposals discussed at the Committee meeting would reduce the projected 2020 debt service (without deferring debt service payments to future years).

As stated above, TWIA is obligated to contribute its net gains from operations into the CRTF. While having the ability to retain these funds to prepay outstanding debt would be economically attractive, this flexibility is not provided for in the current statutes and rules. Obligating the Association to make larger principal payments than otherwise required may not be financially wise. Additionally, as outlined in the table below, the annual principal payments for bonds with terms of two and three years are greater than the current scheduled principal *and* interest payments, and therefore not a financially prudent option for the Association.

|                   | Expected 2020 Payment  |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| Oviginal Cahadula | \$80.3 Million         |
| Original Schedule | (Principal & Interest) |
| Pending Refinance | \$80.3 Million         |
| Pending Kennance  | (Principal & Interest) |
| 1-Year Term       | \$318.6 Million        |
| 1-rear renn       | (Principal Only)       |
| 2-Year Term       | \$159.3 Million        |
| Z-Tear Term       | (Principal Only)       |
| 3-Year Term       | \$106.2 Million        |
| 5-Tear Term       | (Principal Only)       |



#### (5) The impacts of near-term and long-term frequency assumptions on catastrophe model results.

TWIA has consistently relied on the long-term model outputs in the catastrophe models to produce the projected average annual loss (AAL) for its rate adequacy analysis to avoid volatility in the rate indications. TWIA staff also considers near-term model outputs particularly useful to the reinsurance purchasing decision, since changes in aggregate reinsurance limit purchases can be made annually and because near-term model outputs better match the reinsurance pricing. In any event, the impact of using the near-term over the long-term model outputs or vice versa is marginal because there is very little difference between the model outputs.

This below table compares the use of long-term frequency versus near-term frequency assumptions to generate the projected average annual loss used in the Association's rate adequacy analysis and to determine the Association's probable maximum loss (PML) used for its annual reinsurance purchasing decision.

|             | Long-term AAL | Near-term AAL | Long-term PML | Near-term PML |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| AIR         | 198,011,885   | 211,724,473   | 4,157,966,654 | 4,456,000,000 |
| RMS         | 168,245,499   | 155,154,360   | 3,036,520,539 | 2,848,000,000 |
| 50/50 Blend | 183,128,692   | 183,439,417   | 3,597,243,597 | 3,652,000,000 |

# (6) TWIA's use of the Marshall Swift Boeckh (MSB) system for calculating replacement cost on residential dwellings.

TWIA's use of the MSB replacement cost calculator has no impact on the Association's current rates. TWIA has used the Marshall Swift Boeckh (MSB) system as a tool for calculating replacement cost on residential dwellings for over 15 years. The MSB system for replacement cost calculation is widely used by the industry as a tool to determine the amount of policy coverage needed by the policyholder.

In August 2019, TWIA upgraded the version of the MSB used by the Association because the older version was no longer supported by Corelogic (MSB's parent company). The new version of MSB provided for enhanced functionality in calculating replacement cost by providing a more accurate replacement cost for agents and policyholders. TWIA relies on the policyholder's agent to calculate the replacement cost and to select the limits of coverage that best meet the needs of the policyholder. If the agent has different information that they want TWIA to consider, the Association allows for that.

TWIA actuarial staff does not expect that the upgraded MSB version will have a significant, quantifiable impact on replacement cost or written premium. If there is any impact, direct or indirect, this type of uncertainty is reflected in the 5% funding and expense contingencies provision used in the 2019 rate adequacy analysis. This provision is intended to address any unquantifiable factors that could impact the Association's rate adequacy.



#### (7) Loss Adjustment Expense (LEA) assumptions in the Association's rate adequacy analysis.

TWIA uses a 15% loss adjustment expense (LAE) provision for Hurricane loss estimates. The 15% provision is the average amount of LAE experienced by TWIA from hurricane events over the past 10 years. This amount is larger than the level of loss adjustment experienced by TWIA for Hurricane lke, but smaller than the proportion of loss adjustment expense experienced, so far, for Hurricane Harvey. It reflects our careful consideration of the different types and strengths of hurricane events which may affect the Texas coastal area.

There is a significant amount of uncertainty inherent in estimating LAE from hurricanes, including major hurricanes. While a major hurricane may result in higher average loss severity (loss per claim) and a relatively lower percentage of costs for loss adjustment expenses, a major hurricane may also lead to shortages in claim adjusting resources and therefore higher adjusting costs. In the absence of any additional credible historical experience, the loss adjustment expense assumption for major hurricanes makes a very modest impact on the resulting average annual loss given the relatively low frequency of these events.

Appendix E shows TWIA's development of its LAE factor based on historical commercial and residential LAE experience.



# Appendix A: Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.3511 and TWIA's Compliance with the Rate Adequacy Analysis Posting Requirements

#### Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.3511. PUBLIC ACCESS TO RATE ADEQUACY ANALYSIS.

- (a) The association shall make the association's rate adequacy analysis publicly available on its Internet website for at least 14 days before the date the board of directors votes on the submission of a proposed rate filing based on the analysis to the department. The rate adequacy analysis must include:
  - (1) all user selected hurricane model input assumptions; and
  - (2) output data:
    - (A) with the same content and in the same format that is customarily provided to:
      - (i) the association by hurricane modelers; and
      - (ii) the department by the association; and
    - (B) in a searchable electronic format that allows for efficient analysis and is sufficiently detailed to allow the historical experience in this state to be compared to results produced by the model.
- (b) The association shall accept public comment with respect to the association's rate adequacy analysis at a public meeting of the board of directors before the board of directors votes on the submission of a proposed rate filing to the department.

#### Detailed analysis of TWIA's compliance with Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.3511:

- a. As required by Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.3511(a), TWIA's rate adequacy analysis was made publicly available on the TWIA's website at least 14 days before the date the TWIA Board of Directors voted on the submission of a proposed rate filing. TWIA posted the analysis on July 22, 2019, slightly exceeding the 14-day requirement.
- b. As required by Section 2210.3511(a)(1), TWIA included all user selected hurricane model input assumptions.
- c. As required by Section 2210.3511(a)(2)(A), TWIA provided the catastrophe model "output data with the same content and in the same format that is customarily provided to (i) the association by hurricane modelers and (ii) the Department by the Association". The model output used for TWIA's ratemaking is the average annual loss by county for the TWIA portfolio based on the policies-inforce on November 30, 2018. There is no requirement that TWIA provide alternative model output using different assumptions.
- d. The catastrophe models produce other data that are not specifically used in the ratemaking process, such as per occurrence and annual aggregate loss estimates at different exceedance probability thresholds. In the interest of greater transparency, *Appendix F* includes the per occurrence and annual aggregate loss estimates from the hurricane models (this information may also be found on



<u>TWIA's website</u> with the 2019 Rate Adequacy Analysis documents under the title "TWIA Hurricane Model Output").

- e. As required by Section 2210.3511(a)(2)(B), the rate adequacy analysis was posted in Microsoft Excel and Adobe Acrobat PDF files to provide "a searchable electronic format that allows for efficient analysis".
- f. As required by Section 2210.3511(a)(2)(B), the posted material is sufficiently detailed to allow the historical experience in this state to be compared to results produced by the model. Exhibit 6.1 of the Residential Exhibit 2019 file provides detailed premium and loss information for the Texas insurance industry (Residential Extended Coverage policies) for each of the years in which there were hurricanes from 1966 through 2019. This information is used to calculate an "Indicated Hurricane Loss Ratio" based on industry experience. Exhibit 5 of the Residential Exhibit 2019 and the Commercial Exhibit 2019 of the rate adequacy analysis provides a direct comparison of the historical experience in the state compared to the results produced by each of the models. The statutory requirement that the historical experience be compared to the model results does not impose an obligation to present a reconciliation of the historical results to the hurricane model output.
- g. Section 2210.3511 contains no requirement that TWIA "validate" the hurricane models with actual hurricane experience in the State of Texas. Further, TWIA does not rely on the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology's approval in connection with its use of the RMS and AIR models. TWIA has instead relied on documentation provided directly by the modeling firms and submission documentation provided to the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology to comply with ASOP No. 38 and ASOP No. 39.
- h. TWIA's use of the widely accepted RMS and AIR hurricane models in its rate adequacy analysis is consistent with Section 2210.355 which states: "In adopting rates under this chapter, recognized catastrophe models may be considered."



# Appendix B: Assumptions (AIR Model vs Actual for Hurricane Ike)

#### TWIA Model Output Validition

Analysis based on AIR ALERT IKE Landfall Posting and Exposure as of 11/30/07

| Loss Perspective | Expected      | Minimum       | Maximum       | 10th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Total Direct Limits as of 11/30/2007 |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ground-Up        | 1,738,637,228 | 1,421,909,030 | 2,176,256,128 | 2,000,571,777   | 1,457,632,470   | 58,011,994,303                       |

Total Direct Limits as of 8/31/2008 60,906,981,276

#### Adjusted Analysis

Growth 5.0% (Average for 6 counties from 11/30/07 to 8/31/08)

LAE 14.0% ALAE + ULAE

ALE 5.0% Surge (Demand/Storm) 10.0%

| Loss Perspective                       | Expected      | Minimum       | Maximum       | 10th Percentile | 90th Percentile |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Ground-Up Model Output                 | 2,403,505,331 | 1,965,657,860 | 3,008,473,027 | 2,765,605,644   | 2,015,042,215   |
| TWIA actual case incurred loss and LAE | 2,588,356,306 |               |               |                 |                 |



## **Appendix C: Assumptions (AIR Model vs Actual for Hurricane Harvey)**

TWIA Model Output Validition AIR TS v7.0 Harvey Historical Event, TWIA 12/31/16

| Loss Perspective | Expected    | Total Direct Limits as of 12/31/2016 |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ground-Up        | 353,382,823 | 73,393,573,009                       |

Total Direct Limits as of 8/31/2017 68,585,960,997

#### Adjusted Analysis

Growth -6.6%

LAE 18.6% ALAE + ULAE

ALE 0.0% Surge (Demand/Storm) 2.0%

 Loss Perspective
 Expected

 Ground-Up Model Output
 399,491,455

TWIA Ultimate estimate of incurred loss and LAE 1,700,000,000

TWIA Actuairal and Claims are conducting the research on why AIR understated loss cost for Harvey



## Appendix D: Assumptions (RMS Model vs Actual for Hurricane Ike)

TWIA Model Output Validition Inforce Exposure as of 12/31/2010 RMS v11.0 Event Losses - Net of Deductibles

Catalog of Actual Historical Events

Loss Perspective Expected
Ground-Up 716,885,225

Total Direct Limits as of 12/31/2010

67,452,356,800

Total Direct Limits as of 8/31/2008 60,906,981,276

### Adjusted Analysis

Growth -9.7% (Average for 6 counties from 11/30/07 to 8/31/08)

LAE 14.0% ALAE + ULAE

ALE 5.0%

Surge (Demand/Storm)

Loss Perspective Expected

Ground-Up Model Output 774,843,022

TWIA actual case incurred loss and LAE 2,588,356,306

The difference between model and actual could reflect new roof, new constructions



# Appendix E: Development of LAE Factor Using TWIA Commercial and Residential **Experience**

Texas Windstorm Insurance Association Residential Property - Wind & Hail Rate Level Review

Development of LAE factor Using TWIA Commercial + Residential Experience

| Accident<br>/ear      | Projected<br>Ultimate<br>Loss | Projected<br>Ultimate<br>LAE | Ultimate<br>LAE to<br>Loss Ratio | Hurricane<br>Indicator |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| (1)                   | (2)                           | (3)                          | (4)                              | (5)                    |
|                       |                               |                              |                                  |                        |
| 1980                  | 12,911                        | 1,318                        | 0.10                             |                        |
| 1981                  | 2,512                         |                              |                                  |                        |
| 1982                  | 796                           | 565                          |                                  | -                      |
| 1983                  | 148,999                       |                              |                                  |                        |
| 1984                  | 999                           | 324                          |                                  |                        |
| 985                   | 512                           | 297                          | 0.58                             | 0                      |
| 986                   | 881                           | 505                          | 0.57                             | 3 H                    |
| 1987                  | 1,897                         | 1,056                        | 0.55                             | 7                      |
| 988                   | 1,160                         | 357                          | 0.30                             | 8                      |
| 989                   | 12,296                        | 3,528                        | 0.28                             | 7 <b>H</b>             |
| 990                   | 335                           | 225                          | 0.67                             | 2                      |
| 991                   | 1,217                         | 729                          | 0.59                             | 9                      |
| 992                   | 489                           | 554                          | 1.13                             | 3                      |
| 993                   | 3.375                         | 1,375                        | 0.40                             | 7                      |
| 994                   | 679                           | 507                          |                                  |                        |
| 995                   | 2.977                         | 903                          |                                  |                        |
| 996                   | 1,166                         |                              |                                  |                        |
| 997                   | 2.964                         | 1.343                        |                                  |                        |
| 998                   | 22,401                        | 4,732                        | 0.21                             |                        |
| 999                   | 8,773                         | 2,388                        |                                  |                        |
| 000                   | 6,227                         | 1,885                        |                                  |                        |
| 001                   | 24,605                        | 1,880                        |                                  |                        |
| 002                   | 5,167                         | 5,226                        |                                  |                        |
| 2003                  | ,                             | 5,220                        | 0.03                             |                        |
| 2003                  | 155,001                       | ,                            | 0.03                             |                        |
| 2005                  | 5,167                         | 1,471                        |                                  |                        |
|                       | 154,981                       | 20,235                       | 0.13                             |                        |
| 2006                  | 4,276                         | 1,110                        |                                  |                        |
| 2007                  | 15,745                        | 4,941                        | 0.31                             |                        |
| 008                   | 2,583,017                     | 346,615                      |                                  |                        |
| 2009                  | 10,407                        | 2,219                        |                                  |                        |
| 010                   | 18,030                        |                              | 0.23                             |                        |
| 011                   | 96,290                        |                              |                                  | -                      |
| 012                   | 67,586                        |                              |                                  |                        |
| 013                   | 70,855                        | 13,910                       |                                  |                        |
| 014                   | 7,047                         | 6,892                        |                                  |                        |
| 2015                  | 137,960                       | 39,988                       | 0.29                             | 0                      |
| 2016                  | 28,417                        | 15,797                       |                                  |                        |
| 2017                  | 1,374,572                     |                              |                                  |                        |
| 018                   | 13,184                        | 6,424                        | 0.48                             | 7                      |
| All Years Total       | 5,005,873                     | 814,636                      | 0.16                             | 3                      |
| Hurricane Years Total | 4,467,176                     | 668,433                      | 0.15                             | 0                      |
| Non-Hurricane Years   |                               |                              |                                  |                        |
| Total                 | 538,697                       | 146,203                      | 0.27                             | 1                      |
|                       |                               |                              |                                  |                        |

#### Notes:

(2) Exhibit 4, Sheet 2

(3) Exhibit 4, Sheet 4 (4) = (3) / (2) (5) "H" indicates hurricane year

# **Appendix F**



## **Texas Windstorm Insurance Association**

Estimated Aggregate Annual Losses
Based on Industry Accepted
Hurricane and Severe Thunderstorm Catastrophe Models
Based on Data as of November 30, 2018

#### **Cautionary Language Regarding Catastrophe Model Loss Estimates**

The following tables present hurricane and severe thunderstorm loss estimates prepared by the Association based on two leading industry models: AIR Touchstone and RMS RiskLink. Developing models to estimate losses resulting from catastrophes or other large-scale events is an inherently subjective and imprecise process, involving judgment about a variety of environmental, demographic and regulatory factors. Such factors are inherently uncertain and the Association does not model all the types of perils that may result in losses to the Association.

The assumptions and/or methodologies used in connection with the preparation of estimated losses derived by the Association may not constitute the exclusive set of reasonable assumptions, and the use of alternative assumptions and/or methodologies could yield results materially different from those generated or relied upon by the Association. Each model run is based on exposure information that will differ from the Association's actual exposure in the future based on future action the Association may take, including changes to existing policies and the writing of new business. Loss distribution models are not facts and should not be relied upon as such. Actual loss experience can materially differ from the modeled loss estimates used by the Association.

The Board of Directors considers the results of the models and other factors in connection with its decisions with respect to the purchase of reinsurance, including the amount of total limits sought. The Board also considers the results of the models in considering to its obligations under Chapter 2210.453 which require that the Association maintain total available loss funding in an amount not less than the probable maximum loss for the association for a catastrophe year with a probability of one in 100.

These models simulate thousands of hurricane and severe thunderstorm scenarios and compare the simulated hurricanes and severe thunderstorms to the Association's insured business to calculate the probability of losses of certain sizes, both for single occurrences and aggregate losses for the entire year. The results below were generated using Association exposures as of November 30, 2018 and November 30, 2017. The loss estimates are used by the Association in the course of its business operations. The data and analysis provided by TWIA herein are provided "as is", without warranty of any kind whether express or implied.

The loss estimates were prepared by the Association based on certain accepted industry models of Air Worldwide Corporation and Risk Management Solutions. The modeled estimates were prepared by the Association from model output prepared by Guy Carpenter & Company LLC in connection with their provision of reinsurance brokerage services and not in connection with the preparation of this information. The information contained herein reflects the professional judgment and analysis of the Association in respect to certain hurricane occurrence loss estimates derived from industry models. Neither Air Worldwide Corporation, Risk Management Solutions, Inc., nor Guy Carpenter & Company LLC have reviewed, commented on, or approved this report or the information contained herein.

#### **Definitions**

Aggregate Loss Estimate: The most basic output of a catastrophe model is the estimate of losses for every simulated event. Losses presented on an aggregate basis include estimated total losses from ALL events in any given year. In contrast, an "occurrence basis" reflects the losses from the largest single event in any given year. The aggregate loss estimates do <u>not</u> include a provision for loss adjustment expenses. TWIA staff would recommend adding an amount equal to 15% of the estimated aggregate losses to represent the estimated loss adjustment expenses. Loss adjustment expenses represent costs associated with investigating and settling claims.

Aggregate Exceedance Probability: Aggregate Exceedance Probability represents the probability of the total losses from ALL events in any given year meeting or exceeding a given threshold.

Average Annual Loss (AAL): The AAL is the expected value of losses to be experienced in any given year. It is equal to the sum of all simulated event losses multiplied by the probability of each of those events. Average annual losses are also calculated by dividing the total losses for all simulated storms by the number of simulated years in the computer simulation.

**Demand Surge:** Demand surge estimates the degree to which losses are escalated by a combination of economic, social and operational conditions that follow after a given event. Demand Surge accounts for three separate mechanisms of escalation arising from (1) increase in the costs of building materials and labor costs as demand exceeds supply, (2) cost inflation due to the difficulties in fully adjusting claims following a catastrophic event, and (3) under certain extreme scenarios, coverage and loss expansion due to a complex collection of factors such as containment failures, evacuation effects, and systemic economic downturns in selected urban areas.

Gross Basis: Gross basis refers to the total losses before any recoveries from reinsurance or other funding mechanisms.

Near Term vs. Long Term (Historical) Event Set: Hurricanes in the Atlantic basin are known to follow multidecadal periods of heightened or diminished activity in terms of frequency of events, intensity and landfall frequency. To account for these frequency changes, catastrophe model vendors provide alternative event catalogs or rates set alongside the long-term mean. Near-Term or Medium-Term Rates represent the five-year, medium-term outlook of North Atlantic hurricane activity. Long-Term Rates represent the event rates that are consistent with the long-term historical average.

**Return Period:** The return period is simply the inverse of the exceedance probability. For example, a 1% exceedance probability is equal to a 100-year return period. The return-period term can be misleading by implying a period of time that would be expected to pass between events of that magnitude, when in reality they are representative of the probability of meeting or exceeding that level of loss in any given year.

Risk count: Risk Count refers to the number of individual structures insured. Some policies may cover more than one structure.

**Storm Surge:** Storm surge refers to the damage caused by rising ocean water levels along coastlines affected by a hurricane that can cause widespread flooding.



#### **Catastrophe Model Results**

#### **Occurrence Loss Estimates**

#### **Aggregate Loss Estimates**

#### **RMS RiskLink**

|   |                     | Occurrence Loss Estimate - Gross <sup>1,2</sup> |                                 |                                |  |
|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Exceedance<br>Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period       | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup> RMS v17.0       | 11/30/18 Exposures<br>RMS v18.0 | 11/30/17<br>v17 to<br>11/30/18 |  |
| 10.00%                                  | 10                  | \$353,479,188                                   | \$327,100,317                   | -7.5%                          |  |
| 5.00%                                   | 20                  | \$800,077,698                                   | \$741,556,301                   | -7.3%                          |  |
| 4.00%                                   | 25                  | \$990,007,755                                   | \$917,996,799                   | -7.3%                          |  |
| 2.00%                                   | 50                  | \$1,783,978,113                                 | \$1,658,479,961                 | -7.0%                          |  |
| 1.00%                                   | 100                 | \$2,947,350,492                                 | \$2,743,171,730                 | -6.9%                          |  |
| 0.40%                                   | 250                 | \$4,933,241,203                                 | \$4,603,113,633                 | -6.7%                          |  |
| 0.20%                                   | 500                 | \$7,212,159,842                                 | \$6,734,800,715                 | -6.6%                          |  |
| 0.10%                                   | 1000                | \$9,832,975,676                                 | \$9,149,727,705                 | -6.9%                          |  |
| Average                                 | e Annual Loss (AAL) | \$184,614,256                                   | \$171,247,275                   | -7.2%                          |  |

#### **RMS RiskLink**

|   |                     | Aggreg                                       | ate Loss Estimate - Gross       | ,2  |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Exceedance<br>Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period       | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup><br>RMS v17.0 | 11/30/18 Exposures<br>RMS v18.0 | Change % 11/30/17<br>v17 to 11/30/18<br>v18.0 |
| 10.00%                                  | 10                  | \$386,797,580                                | \$357,714,082                   | -7.5%   |
| 5.00%                                   | 20                  | \$856,261,703                                | \$793,329,741                   | -7.3%   |
| 4.00%                                   | 25                  | \$1,054,960,777                              | \$977,894,806                   | -7.3%   |
| 2.00%                                   | 50                  | \$1,877,216,884                              | \$1,744,497,300                 | -7.1%   |
| 1.00%                                   | 100                 | \$3,061,106,710                              | \$2,847,973,101                 | -7.0%   |
| 0.40%                                   | 250                 | \$5,084,707,577                              | \$4,744,088,210                 | -6.7%   |
| 0.20%                                   | 500                 | \$7,377,726,624                              | \$6,886,833,316                 | -6.7%   |
| 0.10%                                   | 1000                | \$10,004,033,550                             | \$9,310,183,033                 | -6.9%   |
| Averag                                  | e Annual Loss (AAL) | \$184,614,256                                | \$171,247,275                   | -7.2%   |

#### **AIR Touchstone**

|                           |                     | Occurrence Loss Estimate - Gross <sup>1,2</sup> |                    |             |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|--|
|                           |                     |   |                    | Change %    |  |
| Exceedance                |                     | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup>                 | 11/30/18 Exposures | 11/30/17 v5 |  |
| Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period       | AIR v5  | AIR v6             | to 11/30/18 |  |
| 10.00%                    | 10                  | \$416,538,167                                   | \$382,624,690      | -8.1%       |  |
| 5.00%                     | 20                  | \$1,056,691,836                                 | \$982,254,930      | -7.0%       |  |
| 4.00%                     | 25                  | \$1,310,887,014                                 | \$1,221,004,232    | -6.9%       |  |
| 2.00%                     | 50                  | \$2,578,410,605                                 | \$2,421,004,472    | -6.1%       |  |
| 1.00%                     | 100                 | \$4,599,362,232                                 | \$4,288,394,836    | -6.8%       |  |
| 0.40%                     | 250                 | \$6,976,074,849                                 | \$6,577,478,186    | -5.7%       |  |
| 0.20%                     | 500                 | \$9,935,695,922                                 | \$9,297,247,467    | -6.4%       |  |
| 0.10%                     | 1000                | \$11,955,637,602                                | \$10,747,350,035   | -10.1%      |  |
| Averag                    | e Annual Loss (AAL) | \$245,286,711                                   | \$227,819,572      | -7.1%       |  |

#### **AIR Touchstone**

|   |                   | Aggregate Loss Estimate - Gross <sup>1,2</sup> |                              |  |
|---|-------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Exceedance<br>Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period     | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup> AIR v5         | 11/30/18 Exposures<br>AIR v6 | Change % 11/30/17<br>v5 to 11/30/18 v6 |
| 10.00%                                  | 10                | \$464,909,903                                  | \$428,358,697                | -7.9%                                  |
| 5.00%                                   | 20                | \$1,166,886,568                                | \$1,082,196,419              | -7.3%                                  |
| 4.00%                                   | 25                | \$1,423,519,226                                | \$1,336,472,767              | -6.1%                                  |
| 2.00%                                   | 50                | \$2,729,121,042                                | \$2,527,174,700              | -7.4%                                  |
| 1.00%                                   | 100               | \$4,782,128,460                                | \$4,456,015,673              | -6.8%                                  |
| 0.40%                                   | 250               | \$7,483,431,477                                | \$7,011,995,680              | -6.3%                                  |
| 0.20%                                   | 500               | \$10,055,421,570                               | \$9,489,757,263              | -5.6%                                  |
| 0.10%                                   | 1000              | \$12,002,577,153                               | \$10,790,076,705             | -10.1%                                 |
| Average                                 | Annual Loss (AAL) | \$245,286,711                                  | \$227,819,572                | -7.1%                                  |

#### Average of RMS and AIR Models

Ave. Limits per Risk Count

Average TIV per Risk Count

|                           |                     | Occurrence                      | e Loss Estimate - Gross <sup>1,2</sup> |             |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------|
|                           |                     |                                 |  | Change %    |
| Exceedance                |                     | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup> | 11/30/18 Exposures                     | 11/30/17 v5 |
| Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period       | Average of RMS and AIR          | Average of RMS and AIR                 | to 11/30/18 |
| 10.00%                    | 10                  | \$385,008,678                   | \$354,862,503                          | -7.8%       |
| 5.00%                     | 20                  | \$928,384,767                   | \$861,905,615                          | -7.2%       |
| 4.00%                     | 25                  | \$1,150,447,384                 | \$1,069,500,515                        | -7.0%       |
| 2.00%                     | 50                  | \$2,181,194,359                 | \$2,039,742,217                        | -6.5%       |
| 1.00%                     | 100                 | \$3,773,356,362                 | \$3,515,783,283                        | -6.8%       |
| 0.40%                     | 250                 | \$5,954,658,026                 | \$5,590,295,909                        | -6.1%       |
| 0.20%                     | 500                 | \$8,573,927,882                 | \$8,016,024,091                        | -6.5%       |
| 0.10%                     | 1000                | \$10,894,306,639                | \$9,948,538,870                        | -8.7%       |
| Averag                    | e Annual Loss (AAL) | \$214,950,484                   | \$199,533,423                          | -7.2%       |
|                           | Limits              | \$72,589,462,935                | \$65,266,085,268                       | -10.1%      |
|                           | TIV                 | \$78,944,281,103                | \$71,089,007,728                       | -10.0%      |
|                           | Risk Count          | 243,333                         | 218,439                                | -10.2%      |
| <u> </u>                  |                     |                                 |  |             |
|                           | AAL per Risk Count  | \$883.36                        | \$913.45                               | 3.4%        |

#### Average of RMS and AIR Models

|   |                     | Aggregate Loss Estimate - Gross <sup>1,2</sup>         |   |  |  |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Exceedance<br>Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period       | 11/30/17 Exposures <sup>4</sup> Average of RMS and AIR | 11/30/18 Exposures Average of RMS and AIR | Change % 11/30/17<br>v5 to 11/30/18 v6 |  |
| 10.00%                                  | 10                  | \$425,853,742  | \$393,036,390                             | -7.7%                                  |  |
| 5.00%                                   | 20                  | \$1,011,574,136  | \$937,763,080                             | -7.3%                                  |  |
| 4.00%                                   | 25                  | \$1,239,240,002  | \$1,157,183,787                           | -6.6%                                  |  |
| 2.00%                                   | 50                  | \$2,303,168,963  | \$2,135,836,000                           | -7.3%                                  |  |
| 1.00%                                   | 100                 | \$3,921,617,585  | \$3,651,994,387                           | -6.9%                                  |  |
| 0.40%                                   | 250                 | \$6,284,069,527  | \$5,878,041,945                           | -6.5%                                  |  |
| 0.20%                                   | 500                 | \$8,716,574,097  | \$8,188,295,290                           | -6.1%                                  |  |
| 0.10%                                   | 1000                | \$11,003,305,352                                       | \$10,050,129,869                          | -8.7%                                  |  |
| Averag                                  | e Annual Loss (AAL) | \$214,950,484  | \$199,533,423                             | -7.2%                                  |  |
|   | Limits              | \$72,589,462,935                                       | \$65,266,085,268                          | -10.1%                                 |  |
|   | TIV                 | \$78,944,281,103                                       | \$71,089,007,728                          | -10.0%                                 |  |
|   | Risk Count          | 243,333  | 218,439                                   | -10.2%                                 |  |
|   | AAL per Risk Count  | \$883.36   | \$913.45                                  | 3.4%                                   |  |

| AAL per Risk Count         | \$883.36     | \$913.45     | 3.4% |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------|--|
| Ave. Limits per Risk Count | \$298,313.27 | \$298,784.03 | 0.2% |  |
| Average TIV per Risk Count | \$324,429.00 | \$325,441.01 | 0.3% |  |

#### Footnotes:

\$298,784.03

\$325,441.01

0.2%

0.3%

\$298,313.27

\$324,429.00

<sup>(1)</sup> Loss estimates are presented on a gross basis, including hurricane and severe convection storm ("SCS") losses, excluding loss adjustment expenses. Hurricane losses include demand surge and exclude storm surge. Hurricane losses are based on the near-term (Warm Sea Surface Temperature) event set.

<sup>(2)</sup> For Severe Thunderstorm, the Standard catalog was used which includes the lower severity/higher frequency events.

 $<sup>(3) \ \</sup>textit{Exceedance probability represents the probability that losses exceed a certain amount from either single or multiple occurrences.}$ 

<sup>(4)</sup> The "11/30/17 Exposures" exclude the 2017 Depop policies (6,425 policies and 6,682 locations).



|   |                   | Aggregate Loss Estimates |                      |                |                             |  |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
|   |                   | 11/30/18 Exposures       |                      |                |                             |  |  |
| Exceedance<br>Probabillity <sup>3</sup> | Return Period     | RMS RiskLink v 18.0      | AIR Touchstone v 6.0 | Average        | Average plus 15% LAE factor |  |  |
| 10.00%                                  | 10                | 357,714,082              | 428,358,697          | 393,036,390    | 451,991,848                 |  |  |
| 5.00%                                   | 20                | 793,329,741              | 1,082,196,419        | 937,763,080    | 1,078,427,542               |  |  |
| 4.00%                                   | 25                | 977,894,806              | 1,336,472,767        | 1,157,183,787  | 1,330,761,354               |  |  |
| 2.00%                                   | 50                | 1,744,497,300            | 2,527,174,700        | 2,135,836,000  | 2,456,211,400               |  |  |
| 1.00%                                   | 100               | 2,847,973,101            | 4,456,015,673        | 3,651,994,387  | 4,199,793,545               |  |  |
| 0.40%                                   | 250               | 4,744,088,210            | 7,011,995,680        | 5,878,041,945  | 6,759,748,237               |  |  |
| 0.20%                                   | 500               | 6,886,833,316            | 9,489,757,263        | 8,188,295,290  | 9,416,539,583               |  |  |
| 0.10%                                   | 1000              | 9,310,183,033            | 10,790,076,705       | 10,050,129,869 | 11,557,649,349              |  |  |
| Average                                 | Annual Loss (AAL) | 171,247,275              | 227,819,572          | 199,533,423    | 229,463,437                 |  |  |
|   | TIV               | \$71,089,007,728         | 71,089,007,728       | 71,089,007,728 | 71,089,007,728              |  |  |
|   | Risk Count        | 218,439                  | 218,439              | 218,439        | 218,439                     |  |  |

#### Footnotes:

<sup>(1)</sup> Loss estimates are presented on a gross basis, including hurricane and severe convection storm ("SCS") losses, excluding loss adjustment expenses. Hurricane losses include demand surge and exclude storm surge. Hurricane losses are based on the near-term (Warm Sea Surface Temperature) event set.

<sup>(2)</sup> For Severe Thunderstorm, the Standard catalog was used which includes the lower severity/higher frequency events.

<sup>(3)</sup> Exceedance probability represents the probability that losses exceed a certain amount from either single or multiple occurrences.